

DETAILED ACTION

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it **MUST** be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Andrew J. Lee (Reg. No. 60,371) on 11/24/2009.

The application has been amended as follows:

In the claims:

In claim 1, line 2, after "system", change "." to --,--.

In claim 13, line 1, after "Claim 10", delete "further".

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The closest prior art of record, namely, McAfee et al. (US 2003/0206312 A1) discloses that the print job is sent to that remote printer. The remote printer can be accessed via a location identifier (e.g., IP address, URL); (see paragraph 0054).

The closest prior art of record, namely, McAfee et al. (US 2003/0206312 A1) does not disclose, teach or suggest, determining, by said computer system, a geographic location of said computer system, determining, by said computer system, a

Art Unit: 2625

geographic location of said electronic device; determining, by said computer system, if said geographic location of said computer system is remote to said geographic location of said electronic device; and if said geographic location of said computer system is remote to said geographic location of said electronic device, preventing, by said computer system, output of said information to said electronic device, as recited in independent claim 1.

The closest prior art of record, namely, McAfee et al. (US 2003/0206312 A1) does not disclose, teach or suggest, determine a geographic location of said system; determine a geographic location of said electronic device; determine if said geographic location of said system is remote to said geographic location of said electronic device; and if said geographic location of said system is remote to said geographic location of said electronic device, prevent output of said information to said electronic device, as claimed in independent claim 10.

The closest prior art of record, namely, McAfee et al. (US 2003/0206312 A1) does not disclose, teach or suggest, determining, by said computer system, a geographic location of said computer system; determining, by said computer system, a geographic location of said printer; determining, by said computer system, if said geographic location of said computer system is remote to said geographic location of said printer; and filtering, by said computer system, said request if said geographic location of said computer system is remote to said geographic location of said printer, as recited in independent claim 18.

The closest prior art of record, namely, McAfee et al. (US 2003/0206312 A1) does not disclose, teach or suggest, instructions that cause the processor to determine a geographic location of the computer system; instructions that cause the processor to determine a geographic location of the electronic device; instructions that cause the processor to determine if the geographic location of the computer system is remote from the geographic location of the electronic device; and instructions that cause the processor to prevent output of the information to the electronic device if the geographic location of the computer system is remote from the geographic location of the electronic device, as claimed in independent claim 28.

Furthermore, claims 1-24 and 28 are found to be allowable over the closest prior art of record, namely, McAfee et al. (US 2003/0206312 A1), for the reason(s) and argument(s) presented and/or stated on, pages 9-13 in the remarks/arguments section, of the amendment filed on and dated 08/12/2009.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dov Popovici whose telephone number is 571-272-4083. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 2625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Coles can be reached on 571-272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dov Popovici/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625